G R S App F IX 4]

Previous References

Later References

1942-43

Munil

File No 52-42

Serial Nos 5, 6, 9-14
42-44

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

Part B for Jan 1943

SUBJECT

Confidential reports of Gazetted
Chief & Assistant officers
1941-42

Confidential Reports on the work and Character of Chief and Assessing officers of the Municipalities for the year 19 (1

371
L

G S dR ta t

3 5 92 5 66 9 5
M L F l N 2/3 f 1942 [App F III 2

S N

Letter no C C 129/4 42 dated 15 7 42 from the Deputy Commr, Kolar Dist promising to submit confidential reports on the work and character of Chief and Assessing officers of the Municipalities of his Dist by about the end of this month

1 Submitted with a draft reminder to the Dy Commrs of the other Dists

Km
6 42 GS 16/7

2 Issue

177

1 The Dy Commrs of all Dists Except Kolar

Issued no L 694 7 d 21 7 42

Sri S A

Km 4
2 21/7

Letter no P 2/42 43 dated 22 7 42 from the D Kadur Dist forwarding confidential report on the work and character of The Chief and the Assessing officers of the municipalities in his Dis

Letter no CC 129/4 4 d 2 7-42 from the D Kolar Dist forwarding the said report re H Krishna Rao assessing officer and promising further report in resp of

TE—

7) Confidential Reports on the work and character of Chief and Assessing officers of Municipalities during 1941-42

HL File No 371 / 597 / 669 / 703 of 1942

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial No

Mr Lakshminarayana Mudaliar C. O. of C. B. pur Muplty

Letter no P2 C. 1 42 dated 27-7-42 from the D. C. Hassan Dist promising further report on the matter.

3 Submitted for perusal

4 A reminder was issued on 4-7-42 to the DCs of Dists requesting them to submit the Confl reports of Chief Officers & Assessing Officers for 1941-42

5 The reports now recd are subd for kind perusal

Kmr 30 7 42

GS

Minister (LSG & PH) for

30/7/42

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER
FOR L S G & P H
Date 31-7-42
No 1101

6) Let all the reports be put up together

31.7.42

Cus 1-8-42

for 1/8/42

Letter no P C1-42 dated 29-7-42 from the D C Hassan Dist forwarding a confidential report on the work and character of Mr C R Rudrappa Chief officer, Hassan Town Muplty. The receipt of reports from the DCs of the other Dists ~~are~~ is awaited

Kmr 2/8/42

GS 3/8

8 Yes If not recd from all the DCs, please remind them on 10/8/42

for 4/8/42

Mr VVS

P L Keep this with you under lock & key

for 4/8/42

Confidential reports on the work and chr of Chief and Assessing officers in Mlts — for 1941-42 (3

General and Revenue
Secretariat

M File No 52 of 1942 864/884/964 [App F III-2

Serial No	
	9 A reminder is put up to the DCs from whom the reports called for have not yet been ~~sent~~ received
	~~11/8/42~~ 10 11/8/42
	Letter no CC 7/42-43 d 4-8-42 from the DC Tumkur (3) forwarding confidential reports on the work and character of Chief and Assessing officers of Mlts in his District
	Letter no CC 129/41-42 d 5-8-42 from the DC Kolar (4) forwarding the promised report on Mr Laxmanswami Modlr
	Letter no CC 1/42-43 d 10-8-42 from the DC Chitaldg (5) forwarding ~~the~~ above reports
	Letter no TC 5/42-43 d 15-8-42 from the DC Kadur forwarding the reports called for
	Letter no Per A 45/41-42 d 19 8-42 (7) from the DC Shimoga Dt on the above subject
	Letter no A Per 3/42 d 20-8-42, from the DC Mysore forwarding a report as called for.
	11 Confidential reports on the work

SECTION

4) Confidential Reports — Chief and Assessing Officers

597 / 669 / 703 / 751 / 864 / 884 / 964 / 1165 / 1261 / 1244

File No ML. 52 of 1942

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial

and character of Chief and Assessing Officers in the Municipalities, ~~[illegible]~~ have been received from all the districts except Bangalore. He was also reminded in this office letter no L1966-9/ ML 52-42 d/14-8-42. If appd he will be reminded now

12 The reports are subd for perusal

Sng
2 9 42

13 Out of the names of the Chief officers about whom confidential reports have been subd, messrs Venkatakrishnaiya & G. Ramakrishna Rao have been reverted to the Revenue Dept

14 Reports have not been submitted about the Chief Offrs of messrs M N Srinivasa Rao (Chennapatna 25 1 42) and B Thimmiah (Gubbi Mfg 10 4 42) and the Assessing officers of Mr H. S Narayana Rao & Mr R. S Kumar (Chitaldrug Dstt) and Mr B Radhakrishnan (Kadur) these will be called for

Law Secy)
2/9/42

F

SECY L DEPT
243P
GOV

15 Send reminders & for these
2-9-42

16) At once please
3/9/42

Confidential Reports about the Chief and Assessing Officers

General and Revenue Secretariat

1244/2

M.C. File No 52 of 1942 [App F III—2

Serial No

17 Subd. with a draft reminder for approval

1 Sus 5 9 42 SS

18 Issue please

Frs A

Issued No L 2753-6 d 7-9-42

From

The D.C. Tumkur Dist

No M.C 4961/41-42 d 8 9 42

states that as the officer took charge as Chief Officer, Tumkur Municipality on 15 6 1942, he has not formed any opinion about ~~the~~ character & work of Mr B [illegible]

From

The D.C. Kadur Dist

No L Dis A3 C 1061/42-43 d 9 9 42

states that as Mr B Radhakrishna joined duty as Assessing Officer at Birur on 11 7 1942, no confidential reports due to Govt in respect of the above officer for the year 1941-42.

19 Reports from the D.C.s of Chitaldrug & Bangalore will be awaited

20) [illegible] 22/9/42

From the D.C. Chitaldrug Dist

No C.C 1/42-43 d 27-9-42

Fds. the ~~conf~~ confidential reports on the work of the Assessing officers working in the Dist

NOTE—Contn Sheet

6) Confidential reports of the chief and Assessing officers

1244 / 2018

File No 52 2382 of 1942

General and Revenue
Secretariat

	Serial No
21) Reports on the work and character of Messrs. H Narayana Rao and R S Kumar - Assessing officers working the Chitaldrug Dist are for perusal — flg. 7	'7'
2) Report from the Dy. Commr Bangalore Dist will be awaited	
1/10	
23) For perusal	
Law Secy	
1/10/42	
24) CMS 1-10-42	
Minister S.G.	
25) Please obtain the reports from the D C Bangalore within a week & put up the file for orders. The DCS should be prompt in the submission of these reports.	
2 10 42	
CMS 2-10-42	
26) Draft DO letter at once pl	
2/10/42	
) Subd. with addl. DO reminds for appl	
Ans 2-10-42	
GS 2/10	
28) Issue today	
3/10/42	
An interim report has been recd from the D.C. Blore Dist. - 3PL put up	
5/11/42	

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER
FOR L S G & P H
Date 2 10 42
No 2765

Letter No C C 1/42 d/ 12-10-42 from the DG Police forwards an interim report in the matter. For perusal

Ans 6/11/42

GS 6/11/42

Confidential Reports — Chief & Assessing Officers of Municipalities — 1941-42

2382
2

(7

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 3227/2 of 194

[App F III—2

Serial No

30) Pl put up another draft DO reminder tod. After that some time the file may be resubd.

10/11/42

31) Subd. with a dft. DO reminder for appl.

10/11/42

32 Issue if put up

10/11/42

Issued No. L 4731 d/ 11-11-42

Letter No. C.C1/42 d/ 24-11-42 from the DC Bangalore stating that the then DC has been requested again to send the report and that the same will be subd soon after it is received, etc.

33) Subd. for perusal. The promised report will, if appd, be awaited.

25/11/42

34) Reports have been received from all the Dists in regard to the work of Chief Officers & Assessing Officers

35) In regard to the work of the Chief Officer of Chennapatna (Mr [illegible]) who has since reverted to the Rev Dept, several reminders have issued. But the report has not yet been received. The D.C. Bangalore states that Mr [illegible] who was the DC officiating [illegible] now I.G.P. has been requested to send up the same

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Confidential reports of Chief Officers & Assessing Officers for 1941-42

File No 52 of 1942-43

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

In the meanwhile, the other reports are subd for perusal & refce para 25 supra

Law secy
25/11/42

37) Submitted for perusal Conf' Reports of all the Chief & Assessing Officers except that of Mr M N Srinivasa Rao who has been reverted to the Rev Dept

Cus
25-11-42

Minister L S G

25/11/42

5817

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR L S G & P H
Date 26 11 42
No 2166

38) The adverse remarks in respect of Messrs Narayanaswamy, H S Narayana Rao, S Narasimha Moorty, Syed Ghulam Dastagir and R S Kumar may be communicated to them with a warning that they should improve their deficiencies. Further the question of transferring Mr H Narayana Rao may be kept in view

3-12-42

Pl dft letters to Des accdly

Cus
5-12-42
5/12/42

39) Subd with three DFts communicating ~~[illegible]~~ the adverse remarks to the respective D.C.s, for appl.

40) Three DFs are put up for approval

[illegible] 12 12 42

Lawsey for 12/12/42

41) [illegible] 14-12-42

42) Issue
14/12/42

SECY L DEPT 7-95 14 12 42 GOV ORE

To D C Chittagong
No L 6209/G 21 12 1942 states that the adverse remarks to each of the Chief & Assessing Officers may be communicated to them

Do No L 6210-11/G 21/12/42
To D Cs of Mawdza & Kadu etc, states that the adverse remarks about the Confidential reports of certain Chief and Assessing officers be communicated to them

43 Reference to contents para 35 supra [illegible] the D.C may be reminded in the matter

[illegible] 30/1

This was dealt with in a separate file & the matter was disposed of

30/1/43

B

G R S III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc , not intended for permanent record]

[illegible] Pl remind [illegible] the D C [illegible]

15/7/42

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Telegraphic Address

No C C 129/41-42
Encls

ML

OFFICE OF $\frac{371}{2}$
The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District,
Kolar

ML 52-42-5

SECY LAW DEPT
16 JULY 1942
GOVT OF MYSORE

Date 15th July 1942

To Narayanaswami Naidu, Esq, B A ,B L ,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Law Department, Bangalore

Sir

Subject - Confidential Reports on the work and character of Chief and Assessing Officers of Municipalities 1941 -- 1942

With reference to the above, I have the honor to state that I will send the above reports in respect of Assessing and Chief Officers working in this District by about the end of this month as the required particulars have not yet been received from the Chikballapur Municipality in respect of the Chief Officer of that Municipality.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KOLAR DISTRICT

N B —should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *19*

Date of issue 21 7 42

Signed by N E K Rao

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

8 Copies

Section M

File No 52-42

Serial No 6

No L 6947 0/

To

The Dy Commrs of all
Dists except Kolar

Sir

I am directed to request you to be so good as to submit early the Confidential Reports on the work and character of Chief ~~Chief~~ and Assessing officers of Municipalities in your Dist for the year 1941-42

I have etc

For Secy to Govt
Law Dept

597

25 JULY 1942

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KADUR DISTRICT.

No.

In reply please refer to—
No R.C.2/42-43.

Dated Chikmagalur 22nd July 1942

ENCS One Sealed Cover - not recd - with comment [illegible]

FROM

~~C. S. KUPPUSWAMIENGAR, Esquire~~,
The *Deputy Commissioner*,
Kadur District

[illegible] 24/7

TO

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
~~Revenue Commissioner in Mysore~~ Law Department
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith in a sealed cover confidential report on the character and work of Messrs B K Narayana Sastri and V Revanna Chief Officers of the Chikmagalur and Tarikere Town Municipal Councils of this District for the year 1941-42 received from Mr C E Noronha, Public Service Commissioner Bangalore ~~with~~ who was in charge of this district till the middle of March 1942 together with my remarks as called for in your letter NO L 694-701 dated 21st

p t o

[illegible]st July 19[illegible].

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
[illegible]ADUR DISTRICT.

[illegible]r.

Confidential Report on the Character and work of Mr B K. Narayana Sastry, Chief Officer, Chikmagalur Municipality

---

1	Name.	B K Narayana Sastry
2	Name and date of substantive as well as present officiating appointment, if any, and the pay thereof	Permanent official on Rs 60-4-80 and working as Chief Officer, Chikmagalur Municipality on Rs 100 plus C A 25 from 6-12-39
3	Age, with date of birth and the Taluk of which he is a native	52 years - 5-6-1890 Bangalore
4	General Educational qualifications and special tests passed if any	Matriculation of the Madras University Revenue, Criminal(hr), Accounts(lr) L S G , Mojinidars' training and Revenue Inspector's training
5	Total Service	29 years
6	Description of work of which the official is employed	Chief Officer, Chikmagalur Town Municipal Council
7	Is the official hard working and how has he done his work? Is he prompt, careful and methodical? Is he dilatory or superficial?	His work was on the whole satisfactory, though in the beginning I found that he was not paying sufficient attention to the executive part of his duties, i.e., in the inspection of the town & supervision of the work of the Sanitary Inspector. But latterly he had improved in this respect. His character as far as I am aware was good and he generally commanded the respect and confidence of those he came in contact with. [This report relates to the period from 1 7 1941 to 12 3 1942 when I was in charge of the district] Public Service Commissioner Bangalore 16/7/1942
8	Has he a thorough grasp of Regulations and rules bearing on the subject which he deals with and of procedure and accounts and does he show any inclination to improve his knowledge?	
9.	Has he any special acquirements useful to the Department in which he is employed or any other?	
10	(1) Is he of active habits and (2) Is there anything in his work to show that his efficiency is impaired by his constitution or habits?	
11	Has he any special aptitude for executive or any particular class of work	
12	What is your opinion generally about his ability and attainments character and conduct and his power to command, the confidence and respect of those who come into official relations with him?	
13	Is the official in your opinion fit or unfit for any higher appointment? State your reasons briefly.	

I consider that he should pay better attention to Executive work. Supervision over the Subordinate Staff leaves much to be desired. He is keen & knows his work but there must be an endeavour to [illegible] his [illegible] his inferior officers

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER 22 7 42.
Kadur District

Confidential Report on the Character and work of Mr.
V Revanna, B A , Chief Officer, Tarikere Municipal Council
Tarikere

---

1. Name.	V Revanna
2. Name and date of substantive as well as present officiating appointment, if any, and the pay thereof	Head Clerk, District Board Office, Bangalore, now Chief Officer, Tarikere Municipal Council
3. Age, with date of birth and the taluk of which he is a native.	34 years
4. General Educational qualifications and special tests passed if any	B A of Mysore University Revenue, Criminal(both higher) Accounts(lower), Registration, Local Boards Examination
5. Total Service.	14 years
6. Description of work of which the official is employed.	Chief Officer, Tarikere Municipal Council
7. Is the official hard working and how has he done his work? Is he prompt, careful and methodical? Is he dilatory or superficial?	His work & conduct were satisfactory. His character, too, so far as I am aware, was good and he commanded the respect and confidence of those he came in contact with. [This report relates to the period from 1 7 1941 to 12 3 1942 when I was in charge of the district.] C E Noronha Public Service Commissioner Bangalore 16 7 1942
8. Has he a thorough grasp of regulations and rules bearing on the subject which he deals with and of procedure and accounts and does he show any inclination to improve his knowledge?	
9. Has he any special acquirements useful to the Department in which he is employed or any other?	
10. (1) Is he of active habits and (2) Is there anything in his work to show that his efficiency is imparied by his constitution or habits?	
11. Has he any special aptitude for executive or any particular class of work	
12 What is your opinion generally about his ability and attainments character and conduct and his power to command, the confidence and respect of those who come into official relations with him?	I agree with Mr C E Noronha. The Collections have improved & he seems to have cordial relations with the Municipal Councillors & ratepayers
13. Is the official in your opinion fit or unfit for any higher appointment? State your reasons briefly.	

N S Srinivasan
22 7. 42
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
Kadur

669

Mr. T. Ramaiya, B A, (Hons)
Deputy Commissioner,

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District,
Dated, Kolar, the 27th July 1942

28 JULY 1942
L S G BRANCH

M/L 52-42-9

C C 129/41-42 Enc
one sealed cover

W DEPT
42
SORE

Confidential

Dear Sir,

I send enclosed, in a sealed cover, the confidential report on the work and character of Mr Krishna Rao Assessing Officer, who worked in this District during the year 1941-42 The confidential report relating to Mr Laxmana Mudaliar,
Chief Officer,
Chikballapur Munucipality, will be sent on its receipt from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District

Yours sincerely,

C Narayanaswami Naidu, Esq , B A , B L ,
Secretary to Government, Law Department,
Bangalore

Confidential Report regarding Mr J Krishna Rao, Assessing Officer, Kolar District,

for the year 1941-42

Name	Mr J. Krishna Rao,
2 Name and date of substantive as well as present officiating appointment, if any, and the pay thereof	Assessing Officer, Kolar Dist
3. Age, with date of birth and the Taluk of which he is a native	
4 General educational qualifications and special tests passed, if any	He's work as an assessing Officer was Satisfactory
5 Total service	
6 Description of work on which the official is employed	
7 Is the official hard-working and how has he done his work? Is he prompt, careful and methodical? Is he dilatory or superficial ?	
8 Has he thorough grasp of regulations and rules bearing on the subject which he deals with and of procedure and accounts and does he show any inclination to improve his knowledge?	
9 Has he any special acquirements useful to the Department in which he is employed or any other?	
10 Is he of active habits and is there anything in his work to show that his efficiency is impaired by his constitution or habits?	
11 Has he any special aptitude for executive or any particular class of work	
12 What is your opinion generally about his ability and attainments, character and conduct and his power to command the confidence and respect of those who come into official relations with him ?	
13 Is the official, in your opinion, fit or unfit for any higher appointment? State your reasons briefly	

S Narayan[illegible]

Reporting Officer,
Dy Comr
Bangalore Dt
22-7-42

I am also of the Same Opinion

H Ramanna
Dy Comr Kolar
25-7-42

7031/L

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
HASSAN DISTRICT

No PC 1-42

Dated Hassan the 27th July 1942.

From

N S Hirannayya, Esq, M A,
Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District

Ml 52-42-10

To

C NARAYANASWAMY NAIDU, ESQ , B.A , B L ,
Secretary to the Govt of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore, Law Department, BANGALORE

SECY LAW DEPT
29 JULY 1942
GOVT OF MYSORE

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 694-701 dated the 21st instant regarding the confidential report on the work and character of the Chief Officers, I have the honor to state that Mr T Ramaiya, Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District has been requested to send his report on the work of the Chief Officers for the period they worked under him in this District and on receipt of the same from him, it will be submitted to Government

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

27/7

Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District

No PC 1-42

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
HASSAN DISTRICT

Dated Hassan the 29th July 1942

751

52-42-11

From

N S Hirannayya, Esq, M A,
Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District

To

C NARAYANASWAMY NAIDU, ESQ., B A, B L.,
Secretary to the Govt of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore, Law Department,
BANGALORE

Sir

In continuation of my letter of even number dated the 27th instant, I have the honor to enclose herewith in a sealed cover, the confidential report on the work and character of Mr C R Rudrappa, Chief Officer, Hassan Town Municipal Council for the year 1941-42

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
N S Hirannayya
29/7
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District

Cw 7.11
21

P

Confidential report regarding Mr. C R Rudrappa, Chief Officer

1. Name.	Mr. C R Rudrappa
2. Name and date of substantive as well as present officiating appointment, if any, and the pay thereof.	Permanent Rev Inspr on Rs 50/- Offg Taluk Sheristedar in grade 60 4-80 Ag Chief Officer on Rs 100/-
3. Age, with date of birth and the Taluk of which he is a native.	Thirty Six years —
4. General Educational qualifications and special tests passed, if any	BA Degree, Revenue, Criminal, Accounts (Hy) & Registration —
5. Total service.	
6. Description of work on which the official is employed.	Chief Officer —
7. Is the official hard working and how has he done his work. Is he prompt, careful and methodical. Is he dilatory, or superficial.	Hardworker, prompt and methodical
8. Has he a thorough grasp of regulations and rules bearing on the subject which he deals with and of procedure and accounts and does he show any inclination to improve his knowledge.	Yes
9. Has he any special acquirements useful to the department in which he is employed.	nil
10. Has he any special aptitude for executive work or any particular class of work.	He is a good Executive officer
12. What is your opinion generally about his ability and attainments, character and conduct and his power to command the confidence and respect of those who come into official relations with him.	Except for over enthusiasm some times, he was all right
13. Is the official in your opinion, fit or unfit for any higher appointment? State your reasons briefly	Fit

T Ramanna
Dy Commr, Kolar
25.7.42

I have watched this official well since April this year and I entirely agree with the opinion of Mr Ramaiya except on one point. Mr Rudrappa is slow. What stood in his work and suggestions and instructions given are not carried out quickly enough. He has of late however been evincing some improvement in this line also and I

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT,
Tumkur , dated the 4th Aug. 1942

C.C No 7/42-43
Encs; One sealed cover

864/L

ML 52-42-12

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,

To

The Secretary to Government ,
Law Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L.694-701 dated 21-7-1942 requesting me to forward the confidential reports on the character and work of Chief and Assessing Officers of Municipalities who worked in the district, I have the honour to enclose herein a sealed cover containing the report in respect of Mr G Suryanarayana the present Chief Officer and Mr C.R Rudrappa the previous Chief Officer of Tumkur Town Municipality The confidential report in respect of Mr Lakshmana Mudaliar has been sent to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, as the Officer worked for some time in Kolar District during the year 1941-42.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

Confidential report regarding Mr. G Suryanarayana, Chief Officer,

1. Name.	
2. Name and date of substantive as well as present officiating appointment, if any, and the pay thereof.	Sbs - Taluk Sheristadar 72/- in 60-4-80 Offg Chief Officer 105/- pl. 10/2-93?
3. Age, with date of birth and the Taluk of which he is a native.	38 years -
4. General Educational qualifications and special tests passed, if any.	First year BA Rev Col. Acts (Hr.) Regn Excise, 2T Mur, [illegible]
5. Total service.	Fifteen years —
6. Description of work on which the official is employed.	Chief Officer -
7. Is the official hard working and how has he done his work. Is he prompt, careful and methodical. Is he dilatory or superficial.	Hardworker, prompt and methodical
8. Has he a thorough grasp of regulations and rules bearing on the subject which he deals with and of procedure and accounts and does he show any inclination to improve his knowledge.	Yes
9. Has he any special acquirements useful to the department in which he is employed.	nil
10. Has he any special aptitude for executive work or any particular class of work.	He is a good executive officer
12. What is your opinion generally about his ability and attainments, character and conduct and his power to command the confidence and respect of those who come into official relations with him.	Except for over enthusiasm sometimes, he was all right
13. Is the official in your opinion, fit or unfit for any higher appointment? State your reasons briefly.	Fit

Dy Comr
Kolar 25.7.42

10?

I agree with the remarks of the Dy commissioner, Kolar

Dy Comm 4/8/42

CONFIDENTIAL REPORT REGARDING MR.C.R RUDRAPPA, THE PREVIOUS CHIEF OFFICER OF TUMKUR MUNICIPALITY NOW WORKING IN HASSAN TOWN MUNICIPALITY, FOR THE YEAR 1941-42.

1	Name	Mr.C R Rudrappa.
2.	Name and date of substantive appointment as well as present officiating appointment, if any and the pay thereof.	Revenue Inspector, ₨ 50/- Chitaldurg District Acting Taluk Sheristedar on ₨ 60/- in the grade of ₨ 60-4-80, Kadur district.
3.	Age, with date of birth and the taluk of which he is a native.	- Chitaldurg district.
4.	General educational qualifications and special tests passed if any	B A , Revenue (Hr), Accounts (Hr), Registration, Local Boards and Municipal Examination
5.	Total service	9 years.
6	Description of work on which the official is employed.	Chief Officer, Tumkur Municipality up to 12-1-42 on ₨ 100/- in grade 100-5-125.
7.	Is the official hardworking and how has he done his work? Is he prompt careful and methodical? Is he dilatory or superficial?	Hard working, prompt and methodical.
8	Has he thorough grasp of regulations and rules bearing on the subject which he deals with and of procedure and accounts and does he show any inclination to improve his knowledge?	YES
9.	Has he any special acquirements- useful to the Department in which he is employed or any other?.	NIL
10	Is he of active habits and is there anything in his work to show that his efficiency is impaired by his constitution or habits?.	He was of active habits.
11.	Has he any special aptitude for executive or any particular class of work.	NIL.
12	What is your opinion generally about his ability and attainments, character and conduct and his power to command the confidence and respect of those who come into official relation with him?	He was all right.
13	Is the official, in your opinion, fit or unfit for any higher appointment? State your reasons briefly	FIT

---------------------------------0—0—0---------------------------------

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
Tumkur District.
4-8-1942

aiya, B A ,(Hons)
puty Commissioner,
O No L.C 129/41-42
ncls
Sealed cover

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
KOLAR DISTRICT

884/L

Camp Oorgaum,
Dated ~~*Kolar*~~, *the* 5th August 1942

Confidential

Ml 52-42-13

Dear Sir,

I send enclosed, in a sealed cover, the confidential report on the work and character of Mr Laxmanaswami Mudaliar, Chief Officer, Chikballapur Municipality who worked in this District during the year 1942 as promised in my D O letter of even No dated the 27th July 1942

Yours sincerely,

C Narayanaswami Naidu, Esq , B A ,B L ,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Law Department, Bangalore

Confidential Report regarding Mr. B Lakshmana Swami Madaliyar

Chief Officer, Gubbi **Municipality** now in Chikballapura Municipality

for the year 1941-42.

Name.	Mr B Lakshmana Swami Madaliyar
2. Name and date of substantive as well as present officiating appointment, if any, and the pay thereof.	Revenue Inspector on Rs 40/- Bangalore District Acting Chief Officer Gubbi on Rs 88/- in grade 80-4-100 Since 13 11 1941
3. Age, with date of birth and the taluk of which he is a native.	Native of Bangalore District
4. General educational qualifications and special tests passed if any.	English L S Revenue (Lr) Accounts (Lr) Registration Local Boards and Municipal
5. Total service.	24 years
6. Description of work on which the official is employed.	Chief Officer Gubbi Municipality upto 12 6 1942
7. Is the official hardworking and how has he done his work? Is he prompt careful and methodical? Is he dilatory or superficial.?	Hard working, prompt and methodical
8. Has he thorough grasp of regulations and rules bearing on the subject which he deals with and of procedure and accounts and does he show any inclination to improve his knowledge?	Yes
9. Has he any special acquirements - useful to the Department in which he is employed or any other?	nil
10. Is he of active habits and is there anything in his work to show that his efficiency is impaired by his constitution or habits?	He ~~has~~ is a good executive officer
11. Has he any special aptitude for executive or any particular class of work.	nil
12. What is your opinion generally about his ability and attainments, character and conduct and his power to command the confidence and respect of those who come into official relations with him?	He was all right
13. Is the official, in your opinion, fit or unfit for any higher appointment? State your reasons briefly.	Fit

Dy Commr 4/8/42

(Reporting Officer)
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

I agree. He came a few months to Chikballapur, and I find in him a good and earnest officer.

Dy Comr Kolar
5-8-42

Confidential.

opened by
[illegible]

On Mysore Government

To

C.Narayanaswami Naidu, Esq.,B.
Secretary to Government of Mys.
Law Department
Bangalore.

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar Dt

96H/L

R P MALLAPPA Esq B A LLB
Deputy Commissioner

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT

Dated Chitaldrug, 10th August 1942.

D O C.C.1/42-43
Encl One sealed cover.

5-2-42-14

GENL & POL SECRETARIAT
BRANCH

Dear Sir,

Confidential Reports of the Chief - Officers of Chitaldrug and Davangere Municipalities for the year 1941-42.

I enclose herein a sealed cover containing the Confidential Reports of the Chief Officers of Chitaldrug - and Davangere Municipalities for the year 1941-42. Please acknowledge the receipt the same.

Yours sincerely,

To

C.Narayanaswamy Naidu, B A ,B L ,
Secretary to Government,
Law Department, Bangalore.

Cir 1-8-42

1941-42

Report ~~[illegible]~~ on the character and work of Mr. H.Narayana Rao,

Chief Officer, Davangere Municipality, Davangere

Age.	52 years
Service: Total	31 years
As Chief Officer.	7 years-
I. Charge Period of Charge.	Chief Officer,Davangere Municipality in grade Rs 125/- plus 35 C A , Since 23 8 1938
II. The reporting officer should give his opinion and impressions in narrative form ~~so~~ as to cover the following:	This is an experienced officer who has general knowledge of Municipal work. He is tactful in dealing with the Subordinates and the general public. His work & conduct are generally satisfactory. He appears to be discontented as he did not get promotion for a long time. As he has been at Davangere for four years, his transfer from that place appears desirable.
1 (i) Knowledge of general principles of Municipal Government.	
(ii) Quality of his notes,reports,etc.,	
(iii) Quality of inspection.	
(iv) Judgment sound or not.	
(v) Promptness in disposal.	
(vi) Decision- Quick or not.	
(vii) Office work = generally.	
(viii) Tact in dealing with his subordinates.	
(ix) Special work done.	
2. Matters of Official and public - interest in which the Officer has specialised himself or takes special interest	
3. General remarks, i.e, general efficiency, habits, executive capacity fitness ~~of~~ for promotion and whether the officer commands the respect and confidence of those who come into - official relations with him.	

9/8/42

Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

1941-42

Report on the character and work of Mr. D Narasimhamurthy Rao, Chief Officer, Chitaldrug Municipality., Chitaldrug

• Age.	
Service ❘ Total ❘ As Chief Officer.	19 years and 10 months. 1 years and 10 months
I. Charge Period of Charge.	Chief Officer, Chitaldrug Municipality in grade Rs.80/- and 10 C A , Since 23 8 1940
II. The reporting officer should give his opinion and impressions in narrative form so as to cover the following:	The officer works hard and takes interest in his work but he appears to be somewhat tactless with the result that he gets into rub with the Staff and the Municipal Councillors. He is industrious and well acquainted with Rules and Regulations. Allegations of corruption against him could not be sustained on enquiry. His conduct and behaviour with the public are satisfactory though some may not like him as he is to enforce rules & regulations strictly. His collection work is fairly satisfactory
1. (i) Knowledge of general principles of Municipal Government.	
(ii) Quality of his notes, reports, etc.,	
(iii) Quality of inspection.	
(iv) Judgment sound or not.	
(v) Promptness in disposal.	
(vi) Decision- Quick or not.	
(vii) Office work = generally.	
(viii) Tact in dealing with his subordinates.	
(ix) Special work done.	
2. Matters of Official and public - interest in which the Officer has specialised himself or takes special interest.	
3. General remarks, i.e, general efficiency, habits, executive capacity fitness for promotion and whether the officer commands the respect and confidence of those who come into - official relations with him.	

7/8/42
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

1165/L

D O No TC 5/42-43 Encl Five

Mr C M H RANAJODHA SINGH
Deputy Commissioner

MANDYA,
Dated August 15, 1942

Confidential

& REV SECRETA
19 AUG 1942
L C C

Dear Sir,

I am enclosing herein my confidential report on the character and work of the marginally noted officers and request that the receipt of the same may kindly be acknowledged

Mr C D Chandrasekhar, Chief Officer, Seringapatam
Mr T Venkatakrishniah, Chief Officer, Mandya
Mr J Anthony Swamy, Chief Officer, Mandya
Mr Syed Gulam Dastagir, Chief Officer, Seringapatam
Mr D Nagesha Rao, Assessing Officer, Mandya

Yours Sincerely,

C Narayanaswami Naidu, Esq , B A , B L ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Law Department, Bangalore

Confidential Report on the character and work of Mr. C D Chandrasekhar Chief Officer, Town Municipality, Seringapatam.

I. Age: 35 years 1 month (Date of birth 17-6-1907)

Service:

i) Grade of Service --

ii) Total 7 years & 4 months

iii) In grade 3 years & 10 months (Since 8 9 38)

iv) As Chief Officer 3 years & 10 months (from 8 9 38)

II 1. Charge Chief officer, Seringapatam Municipality

2. Period of Charge About 2 months (~~Since~~ from 29 4 42)

III. The Reporting Officer should give his opinion and impressions in narrative form so as to cover the following

1. (i) Knowledge of general principles of Municipal Government.
   - (ii) Quality of his notes, reports, etc
   - (iii) Quality of inspection
   - (iv) Judgment - sound or not
   - (v) Promptness in disposal
   - (vi) Decision - quick or not
   - (vii) Office work generally
   - (viii) Tact in dealing with his subordinates
   - (ix) Special work done

He has worked here only for a Short time and I have not been able to form any correct opinion regarding his work Generally, his work has been Satisfactory

2 Matters of official and public interest in which the officer has specialised himself or takes special interest

3 General remarks, that is, general efficiency, habits, executive capacity, fitness for promotion and whether the officer commands the respect and confidence of those who come into official relations with him

10/8/42

Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District

Confidential Report on the character and work of Mr. T Venkatakrishnaiah Chief Officer, Town Municipality, Mandya

I. Age: 54 years (Date of birth — 9 9 1888)

Service:

i) Grade of Service Rs 100/-
ii) Total 32 years (Date of entry into service — 5 7 1910)
iii) In grade 3 years (From 1 7 1939 onwards)
iv) As Chief Officer About 3 years (From 1 7 39)

II. 1. Charge Chief officer Mandya municipality
2. Period of Charge About 10 months during 1941-42

III. The Reporting Officer should give his opinion and impressions in narrative form so as to cover the following:

1. (i) Knowledge of general principles of Municipal Government.
(ii) Quality of his notes, reports, etc.
(iii) Quality of inspection
(iv) Judgment - sound or not
(v) Promptness in disposal
(vi) Decision - quick or not
(vii) Office work generally
(viii) Tact in dealing with his subordinates.
(ix) Special work done

His work was just passable He has taken leave preparatory to retirement

2. Matters of official and public interest in which the officer has specialised himself or takes special interest.

3. General remarks, that is, general efficiency, habits, executive capacity, fitness for promotion and whether the officer commands the respect and confidence of those who come into official relations with him.

Mandya
12 8 42

Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District.

Confidential Report on the character and work of Mr. J Anthony Swamy, Chief Officer, Town Municipality, Mandya.

I. Age: 41 years (Date of birth 29-12-1914)

Service:

i) Grade of Service --

ii) Total 12 years (Date of entry into Service — 8 9 1930)

iii) In Grade About 1½ months

iv) As Chief Officer Since 7 5 1942

II. 1. Charge Chief officer, Mandya municipality

2. Period of Charge About 1½ months (Since 7 5 1942)

III. The Reporting Officer should give his opinion and impressions in narrative form so as to cover the following:

1. (i) Knowledge of general principles of Municipal Govt.
   (ii) Quality of his notes, reports, etc.
   (iii) Quality of inspection
   (iv) Judgment - sound or not
   (v) Promptness in disposal
   (vi) Decision - quick or not
   (vii) Office work generally
   (viii) Tact in dealing with his subordinates.
   (ix) Special work done
2. Matters of official and public interest in which the officer has specialised himself or takes special interest.
3. General remarks, that is, general efficiency, habits, executive capacity, fitness for promotion and whether the officer commands the respect and confidence of those who come into official relations with him.

He is a good executive officer and has been working quite satisfactorily. He has good knowledge of rules and regulations. He is quite prompt in disposal of current work. He is fit for promotion. His character and conduct have been quite satisfactory.

Mandya, 12 8 42

Deputy Commissioner.

Confidential Report on the character and work of Mr. Syed Gulam Dastagir, Chief Officer, Town Municipality,

---

I. Age

Service.

i) Grade of Service
ii) Total
iii) In Grade
iv) As Chief Officer

II. 1 Charge Chief officer, Seringapatam Municipality

2. Period of Charge About 10 months during the year 1941-42 (Till 9 4 42)

III. The Reporting Officer should give his opinion and impressions in narrative form so as to cover the following

1. (i) Knowledge of general principles of Municipal Govt
(ii) Quality of his notes, reports, etc
(iii) Quality of inspection
(iv) Judgment - sound or not
(v) Promptness in disposal
(vi) Decision - quick or not
(vii) Office work generally
(viii) Tact in dealing with his subordinates
(ix) Special work done

2 Matters of official and public interest in which the officer has specialised himself or takes special interest

3. General remarks, that is, general efficiency, habits, executive capacity, fitness for promotion and whether the officer commands the respect and confidence of those who come into official relations with him

His work has been quite Satisfactory He is a good Executive Officer He bears a good character He showed some improvement in his work

Mandya,
12 8 42

Deputy Commissioner

Confidential Report on the character and work of Mr. D. Nagesha Rao, Assessing Officer, Mandya

----

I	Age	38 years (Date of birth 27th July 1904)
	Service	
	i) Grade of Service	4½ years in grade 60-4-80
	ii) Total	13½ years (Date of entry into service - 21st January 1929)
	iii) In Grade	4½ years in grade 60-4-80 (From 5-1-1938)
	iv) As Assessing Officer	1 year & 4 months (From 21-2-1941)
II	1 Charge	Assessing Officer, Mandya
	2 Period of Charge	Working as Assessing officer in the District from 21-2-1941.
III.	The Reporting Officer should give his opinion and impressions in narrative form so as to cover the following -	
1	(i) Knowledge of general principles of Municipal Govt (ii) Quality of his notes, reports, etc (iii) Quality of inspection (iv) Judgment - sound or not (v) Promptness in disposal (vi) Decision - quick or not (vii) Office work generally (viii) Tact in dealing with his subordinates (ix) Special work done	His work has been fairly Satisfactory His character and Conduct are Satisfactory He has Good knowledge of rules and regulations
2	Matters of official and public interest in which the officer has specialised himself or takes special interest	
3	General remarks, that is, general efficiency, habits, executive capacity, fitness for promotion and whether the officer commands the respect and confidence of those who come into official relations with him	

Mandya
12 8 42

Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District

Confidential.

D O No Par.A 45/41-42

~~[illegible]~~ Enc one Sealed cover)

Mr M NAVANEETHAM NAIDU B A B L
Deputy Commissioner

~~OFFICE~~ OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
SHIMOGA DISTRICT

Dated Shimoga 19th August 1942

[illegible]

1261/2

Mr SVS
If all the reports have been recd, please put up reports [illegible]

x x x

CW
26-8-42

[illegible] 27/8/42

Dear Sir,

Subject - Confidential Reports for 1941-1942

With reference to your letter No L 694-70 dated 21st July 1942, I send herewith in a sealed cover the confidential reports on the character and work of the marginally noted Chief Officers of this District for the year - 1941-1942 There were no Assessing Officers in this District during the year 1941-42

1 Mr D Alasingachar,
Chief Officer,
Shimoga Town Municipality
2 Mr G Ramakrishna Rao,
Chief Officer,
Sagar Town Municipality

Yours sincerely,

Navaneetham
19/8/42

C Narayanaswami Naidu, Esq , B A ,B L ,
Law Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Bangalore

1941-1942.

Report on the character and work of Mr D Alasingrachar

Chief Officer, Shimoga Municipality

***

Age. ... Nearly 54 Yrs of age (Y M)
Total Service ... Nearly 33 years
Service in grade. .. About 12½ years
Charge ... } Chief Officer, Shimoga Munl, since 20-4-42
Period of charge . . }
Service as Chief Officer... }

1. The Reporting Officer should give his opinion and impressions in narrative form so as to cover the following -

64 i. Knowledge of general principles of Municipal Government

ii. Quality of his notes, reports, etc

iii Quality of inspection

iv. Judgment- sound or not

v. Promptness in disposal.

vi. ~~Offxxxxxxxx~~ Decision - quick or not.

vii. Office work generally.

viii. Tact in dealing with his subordinates.

ix. Special work done.

2. Matters of official and public interest in which the officer has specialised himself or takes special interest

3. General remarks, that is, general efficiency, habits, executive capacity, fitness for promotion and whether the officer commands the respect and confidence of those who come into official relations with him.

He joined duty as the Chief Officer of this Shimoga Munl, recently (i.e., on 20-4-42) in place of Mr A G Venkatasubbaiya who was reverted for unsatisfactory work.

It is rather too early ~~to~~ ~~give~~ for me to give my opinion & impressions about this Officer. He seems to be an experienced & willing officer. As the work & affairs of this municipality ~~is~~ are not in a satisfactory condition, and ~~too~~ & as the arrears are heavy ~~atten~~ attention is needed on all sides. I have instructed him to give special attention to collection work & show substantial improvement all round. I am watching his work & the progress.

Shimoga
19-8-42

Navaneetha
DY Commr
Shimoga Dt.

1941-1942

Report on the character and work of Mr G Ramakrishna Rao

Chief Officer, Sagar Municipality

* * *

	Y	M
Age	— About 42 yrs	
Total Service	(Infn not readily available)	
Service in grade	— About 3 yrs	
Charge Period of charge Service as Chief Officer	Chief Officer Sagar Town Municipality from 25-8-39 to 4-8-42	

1 The Reporting Officer should give his opinion and impressions in narrative form so as to cover the following -

- i Knowledge of general principles of Municipal Government
- ii Quality of his notes, reports, etc
- iii Quality of inspection
- iv Judgment- sound or not
- v Promptness in disposal
- vi ~~Office work~~ Decision - quick or not
- vii Office work generally
- viii Tact in dealing with his subordinates
- ix Special work done

2 Matters of official and public interest in which the officer has specialised himself or takes special interest

3 General remarks, that is, general efficiency, habits, executive capacity, fitness for promotion and whether the officer commands the respect and confidence of those who come into official relations with him

He continued as the Chief Officer of the Sagar Muniy during the year under report (41-42), but there was no improvement either, in his executive capacity or general attention & work As he was tried for over two years and found wanting as Chief Officer, I thought it best that he should be reverted to his permanent ministerial post & that a suitable man should be posted to this Muniy as the Chief Officer On my report accordingly, Govt directed his reversion (vide Govt Order No L 5443-45/Ml 52-41-19 dated. 23rd Dec 1941) He has since been relieved of this charge (i e, on 4-8-42), & has been replaced by one Mr M R Krishnamurthy Rao, ~~who~~ Chief Officer, from the Nanjangud Muniy

Naraveethan
Dy Commr
Shimoga Dt

Shimoga
19-8-42)

Mr. K. SUBBA RAO, B A ,
Deputy Commissioner

MYSORE
Dated 20th August 1942

CONFIDENTIAL.

No A.Per.3/42
Enc One

1244

GEN & REV SECRETARIAT
24 AUG 1942
L S G BRANCH

Dear Sir,

I am sending herewith the confidential report on the work and character of Mr C Krishna Murthi Rao, Chief Officer, Nanjangud Town Municipal Council, for the period he worked in this District during the year 1941-42

Yours sincerely,

K Subba Rao

To

C Narayanaswami Naidu, Esq ,B A ,B L ,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Law Department, Bangalore

Report on the character and work of Mr C Krishnamurthi Rao,
Chief Officer, Nanjangud Municipality

I AGE
Service (Grade of service II Grade
(Total 24 years.
(In grade Since 24 8 39
(As Chief Officer 24-8 39

II (i) Charge Chief Officer
(ii) Period of charge 24-8-39 to 30 6 42.

III The reporting officer should give his opinion and impressions in narrative form so as to cover the following -

(I) Knowledge of general principals of Municipal Government

1 (ii) Quality of his notes, reports etc

(iii) Quality of inspection

(iv) Judgment - sbund or not

(v) Promptness in disposal

(vi) Decision - quick or not

(vii) Office work generally

(viii) Tact in dealing wirh his subjects

(ix) Special work done

2 Matters of official and public interest in which the officer has specialised himself or takes special interest

3 General remarks, that is, genrral efficiency, habits, executive capacity, fitness for promotion and whether the officer commands the respect and confidence of those who come into official relations with him

A very capable and energetic officer who carried out the orders of his official superiors without fear or favour After he came to the Nanjangud Municipality there was great improvement under all heads of municipal revenue He has now been transferred to the Sagar municipality He deserves every encouragement

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District

Immediate 4 copies

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

D O No L 2758-56

Dated Bangalore 7th Sept 42

DEAR SIR

I am desired to invite your attention to this Office letter No L 694-701/MR 42-6 dated 21-7-42 and the subsequent reminders, No L 1966-9/ML 52-42 d/ 14-8-42 calling for the submission of the confidential reports on the work and character of Chief and Assessing Officers of Mhs in your district noted in the margin for the year 1941-42.

and to request that ~~reply thereto may kindly be expedited, as the matter is long pending~~ the said report may be subd to Govt without any further delay

Yours sincerely,

Report on Mr M N Srinivasa Rao (Ch Officer) Chennapatna (Dt Bangalore Dt)

Mr B Thimmaiah (Ch Officer - Gubbi Mh) (Dt Tumkur Dt)

Mr H S Narayana Rao and Mr R S Kumar (Assessing officers) (Dt Chitaldrug Dt)

Mr B Radhakrishnan - (Dt [illegible])

WD 0118—GPB—2 000—31 -40

C. No 451

1602

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Tele gram/phone

CONFIDENTIAL

OFFICE OF
The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District
Tumkur

No ~~G~~6C No 4961/41-42
Encls

Date 8th September 1942

10 SEP 1942
L S G BRANCH

To

C Narayanaswami Naidu, Esq , B A ,B L ,
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
Law Department , Bangalore

Sir

With reference to your D O letter No L 2755/42-43 dated the 7th September 1942 re the submission of a confidential report on the work and character of Mr B Thimmaiah, Chief Officer, Gubbi Municipality for the year 1941-42, I have the honor to state that as this officer took charge of Gubbi Municipality only on the 15th June 1942, I had not formed any opinion of his character and work

I have the honor to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

AD 8/9

C Fr No 45]

1637

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Tele gram/phone

OFFICE OF
The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District,
Chickmagalur

No L Dis A3-C 1061-80/42-43
Encls

Date 9th September 1942

Mr LVS [illegible]

SECY LAW DEPT
1 2
GOVT OF MYSORE

To

The Secretary to Government,
Law Department,
Bangalore

Sir

With reference to D O Letter No L 2753/42-43 dated 7th September 1942 from the Assistant Secretary to Government, Law Department, regarding the confidential report on the work and character of Mr B Radhakrishna Assessing Officer, for the year 1941-42, I have the honour to state that the said Officer joined duty as Assessing Officer for the first time in the Birur Municipality on the forenoon of the 11th July 1942 Hence, I believe no confidential report is due to Government in respect of the above Officer for the year 1941-42

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District

H P R

Appendix F G —1]

SUBJECT

Immediate

5 copies

Section Ml
File No 52-42
Serial No R

Diary No of letter replied to

No L 1966-9

To

The DC s of Dts of
✓1) Mysore
2) Bangalore
✓3) Hassan
✓4) Shimoga
~~5) Chitaldrug~~

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the *194*

Date of issue 14 8 42

Signed by M G R Rao

Sir,

I am directed to invite refce to this office letter No L 694-701/Ml 52-42-6 d/ 27-7-42, calling for the filing of the confidential reports on the working and character of Chief and Assessing officers of ~~the~~ Mlts in your Dt. for the year 1941-42 and to request you to be so good as to expedite the same. ~~forward~~ ~~immediately~~ ~~the reports already called for~~

~~The matter~~ Kindly may be treated as immediate

I have etc

For Secy to Govt
Law Dept.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat,
Dated Bangalore 14th August 1942.

No L 1966-9
Ml 52-42

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Law Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioners
Mysore, Bangalore, Mandya & Shimoga

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to this Office letter No.L.69 701/Ml.52-42-6, dated the 27th July 1942, calling for the submission of the confidential reports on the Chief and Assessing Officers of Municipalities in your District, for the year 1941-42, and to request you to be so good as to expedite the same.

This may kindly be treated as immediate.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Dff

For Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

Hvs/5.

Confidential
No.C C 1/42-43
Encl.One sealed cover.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District,
Chitaldrug,dated,27th Septr.1942

Mr NS ++
26/9

2018/L

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O No L.2756/42-43 dated the 7th September 1942, I write to enclose herein a sealed cover containing the confidential reports on - the work and character of the Assessing Officers ~~of~~ working in this District * for the year 1941-42 Please -
--------------------------------------/
Mr.H Narayana Rao, and Mr.R.S,Kumar. / acknowledge
--------------------------------------/
the receipt of the reports.

Yours sincerely,

To

C.Narayanaswamy Naidu,Esq ,B A ,B L ,
Secretary to Government, Law Dept.,
Bangalore.

ens 24 am

1941-42

Report ~~xxxxxxxxxxx~~ on the character and work of Mr. *H S Narayana Rao,*
Assessing ~~Chief~~ Officer, *Chitaldrug* -Municipality.,

I. Age.	*36 years and 7 months*
Service Total	*13 years and 10 months*
Service As ~~Chief Officer~~ *Assessing - Officer*	*30th June 1941*
II. Charge Period of Charge.	*Assessing work of Municipalities*
III. The reporting officer should give his opinion and impressions in narrative form ~~so~~ as to cover the following: 1. (i) Knowledge of general principles of Municipal Government. (ii) Quality of his notes, reports, etc., (iii) Quality of inspection. (iv) Judgment sound or not. (v) Promptness in disposal. (vi) Decision- Quick or not. (vii) Office work - generally. (viii) Tact in dealing with his subordinates. (ix) Special work done. 2. Matters of Official and public - interest in which the Officer has specialised himself or takes special interest. 3. General remarks, i.e, general efficiency, habits, executive capacity fitness ~~of~~ for promotion and whether the officer commands the respect and confidence of those who come into - official relations with him.	This officer took up assessing work in Chitaldrug Municipality from 17 [illegible] & continued till 20 10 1941 Due to outbreak of plague & evacuation of the Town he was directed to take up assessing work at Chiknakere & Molakalmuru After finishing the work in these two Municipalities he took up the work in Chitaldrug Municipality again on 18-5-42 He has not yet completed the work & requires time till end of November 1942 He is very slow in his work & wanting in promptness His conduct is satisfactory

26/9/42
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

cw 28 am

1941-42

Report on the character and work of Mr. R.S.Kumar, B A, Assessing - ~~Chief~~ Officer, Davangere - Municipality.,

---

Age.

Service | Total
| As ~~Chief Officer~~ Assessing - Officers — Since 15th January 1942

I. Charge — Davangere Municipality
Period of Charge.

II. The reporting officer should give his opinion and impressions in narrative form so as to cover the following:

1. (i) Knowledge of general principles of Municipal Government.
   (ii) Quality of his notes, reports, etc.,
   (iii) Quality of inspection.
   (iv) Judgment sound or not.
   (v) Promptness in disposal.
   (vi) Decision- quick or not.
   (vii) Office work - generally.
   (viii) Tact in dealing with his subordinates.
   (ix) Special work done.

This Officer has just completed the assessing work in Davangere Municipality. He has taken 8½ months. He could have been prompt in his work. His conduct is satisfactory

2. Matters of Official and public - interest in which the Officer has specialised himself or takes special interest.

3. General remarks, i.e, general efficiency, habits, executive capacity fitness ~~of~~ for promotion and whether the officer commands the respect and confidence of those who come into - official relations with him.

26/9/42
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

Immediate

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

D O No L 3717

Dated Bangalore 3/10/42

DEAR SIR

I am desired to invite your attention to this Office letter No ~~R~~ L 694-701/L 52-42-6, dated 27-7-42 and the subsequent reminder~~s~~ ending with 2753-6 No [illegible] ML 52-42 dated ~~14-8-42~~ 7-9-42 calling for the submission of the Confidential reports ~~on~~ about the Chief and Assessing Officers of M Ctes in your Dt for the year 1941-42

~~and to request that reply thereto may kindly be expedited as the matter is long pending~~ the said reports may be ~~sent~~ [illegible] without further delay. The matter [illegible] Chief Officer about the working of the [illegible] Separate [illegible] Commissioner [illegible] for 1942 [illegible]

Yours sincerely,

for

To

C N K Ranganathayya Esqr BA (name)

DC Bangalore Dt

WD 0118—GPB—2 000—31 7 40

3/10

[illegible] BSR

P I put up w connected file

[illegible]

15/10/[illegible]

2382/L

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to Mr. G. Ramachandra Rao, Assistant Secretary to Government L.S.G. Department - for information with reference to his D.O. letter referred to above.

BS Suryanarayana

Personal Assistant to
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONERS OFFICE,
BANGALORE

Mr C M H RANAJODHA SINGH, B A
Deputy Commissioner

Dated 12th Octr. 1942

D.O. CC-1/42

Dear Sir,

In forwarding herewith a copy of D.O. letter No. L. 3717/ml 62-42 dated 3-10-42 from the General and Revenue Secretariat regarding the submission of confidential report about the Chief and Assessing Officers of Municipalities, may I request you to send at your earliest convenience, the said report about the work of Mr. M.N. Srinivasa Rao, Chief Officer, Channapatna Municipality

Yours Sincerely,
(Sd) C M H Ranajodha Singh

M. Seshadri, Esq., M.A.,
Inspector General of Police in
Mysore,
Bangalore

P T.O

Immediate

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

D O No L 4731

Dated Bangalore 11 11 42

DEAR SIR

I am desired to invite your attention to this Office letter No ~~R~~ L 694-701/52-42-6, dated 27-7-42 and the subsequent reminders, ~~ending~~ with D O letter No L3717 of 3-10-42 calling for the submission of the Confidential Reports about the Chief and Assessing Officers of Mltys in your Dt for the year 1941-42

and to request that ~~reply thereto may kindly be expedited as the matter is long pending~~ the report about the work and character of M N Srinivasa Rao Ch Officer, Chennapatna Tn Ml Cl 1941-42 may kindly arranged to be be forwarded to immediately, as it has been inordinately delayed

Yours sincerely,

16/11

To

C M H Ranajodh Singh Esq, BA
DC Bangalore Dt

WD 0118—GPB—2 0—31 7 40

Mr Ponnaiyya (Hd quarters)

D Nos 2300 & 2324 may be kindly placed in the Connected Files

D Nos 2301, 2336, 2337 may be put up with the Lrs File and sent to the Camp for resubmission

15/10

[illegible] 17/10

for [illegible] 15/10

The Lrs File may be kept awaiting for this as well as for D No 2382

The D no of [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] Rao is 1152 [illegible]

[illegible]

No C C 1/42

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated, Bangalore, 24th Novr ,1942

3227/L

From

Mr V S
Pl submit the note [illegible]

C M H Ranajodha Singh, Esq , B A ,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Bangalore

To

N Narayanaswami Naidu, Esq , B A , B L ,
Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Law Department,
Bangalore.

Subject - Confidential report about the work of Mr M N Srinivasa Rao, Chief - Officer, Channapatna Municipality for 1941-42

- oOo -

Sir,

With reference to your D O letter No L 4731, dated 11-11-1942, and in continuation of this office No C C 1/42, dated 14-10-1942, on the above subject, I have the honour to state that Mr M Seshadri, M A , the then Deputy Commissioner, who is now the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, has been requested again to send the report in question at an early date

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore Dist

SPH .

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

(   )

the   19

Date of issue 21/12/42

Signed by Mr G K Rao

Mr D Narasimha Murthy, Chief Officer, Chitaldg Tn Mly —
"As he is tactless at times he gets into rub with the staff and the Ml Councillors"

Mr H S Narayana Rao, Assessing Officer, Chitaldg Tn Mly —
"He is very slow in his work and wanting in Promptness"

List of enclosures

Mr R S Kumar, Assessing Officer Davangere Tn Mly —

Copied by

He has taken 8½ months to complete the assessing work in the Davangere Mly He could have been ~~Examined by~~ prompt in his work.

T. W

Section ml

File No 52-42

Serial No 49

No L. 6209

To

The DC Chitaldrug Dt

Sir,

With refce to your DO letter No CC 1/42-43 of 10-8-42 and 27-9-42, forwarding the confidential Reports on the work and Character of the Chief and Messrs Assessing officers in your dist, I am directed to request you to communicate the marginally noted adverse remarks made against each of them and to warn them that they should show ~~better~~ improvement in future

I have etc

WN

for ~~Fr~~ Secy to Govt
Law Dept

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *19*

Date of issue 21/12/42

Signed by Mr G. Rao

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section ml

File No 52-42

Serial No 43

No L 6210

To

The DC Mandya Dt,

Sir,

With refce to your letter No T.C 5/42-43 of 15-8-42, forwarding the confidential reports on the work and character of Chief officers and Assessing officer, I am directed to request you to inform Mr. Syed Gulam Dastgir, Chief Officer, Seringapatam Tn Mlt that he should further improve in his work

If the officer is not

working in your Dt at present,
the remarks may kindly be
communicated to him thro the D.C of the Distt where he is now working

I have etc

Yrs [illegible]
12 —
for Secy to Govt
Law Deptt
Ors

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section ML
File No 52-42
Serial No 44

Diary No of letter replied to

No L 6211

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *19*

The D.C. Kadur Dt

Date of issue 21 12 42

Signed by [illegible]

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Sir,

With refce to your letter No P.C 2/42-43 d 22-7-42, forwarding the Confidential Report on the work and character of Chief officers in your Dist, I am directed to request you to communicate to Mr B.K. Narayana Sastri the adverse remarks as noted ~~as~~ in the margin and to warn him that he should show improvement in future.

"He should give better attention to executive work and supervision over the subordinate staff. He should satisfy the public and his superior officers — he lacks in this respect"

If the officer is not in your Dist, the remarks may be kindly arranged to be communicated to him thro' the D.C. of the Dist where he is now working.

I have etc,

[illegible]

for ~~[illegible]~~ Secy to Govt
Law Dept